Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,850	WATANABE, HIDEO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839
All Participants:	I Participants: Status of Application: <u>rejected</u>	
(1) Michael C. Zarroli (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) <u>Song Zhu (aty)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8, 9  Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Substance of interview describing the General Nature of What was discussed.  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Richard Zamel		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In preparation for allowance, the examiner called the aty to question where in the specification & drawings the last two lines of claim 8 could be found. Support for these claim limitations could not be found. The aty and examiner agreed there might be a typo or missphrasing in these lines..